

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEROME L. GRIMES,

No. C 14-80199M CW

Plaintiff,

v.

SERRAMONTE CENTER, et al.,

Defendants.

JEROME L. GRIMES,

No. C 14-80200M CW

Plaintiff,

v.

SERRAMONTE CENTER, et al.,

Defendants.

JEROME L. GRIMES,

No. C 14-80201M CW

Plaintiff,

v.

EDWIN (DOE), et al.,

Defendants.

JEROME L. GRIMES,

No. C 14-80202M CW

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN DOE, et al.,

Defendants.

1 JEROME L. GRIMES,

No. C 14-80203M CW

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 EDWIN (DOE), et al.,

5 Defendants.

6 \_\_\_\_\_/  
7 JEROME L. GRIMES,

No. C 14-80204M CW

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 DALY CITY POLICE OFFICER K.  
MATTOS, et al.,

11 Defendants.

12 \_\_\_\_\_/  
13 JEROME L. GRIMES,

No. C 14-80205M CW

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 OFFICER K. MATTOS, et al.,

17 Defendants.

ORDER RETURNING  
COMPLAINTS TO  
PLAINTIFF

18 \_\_\_\_\_/  
19 On December 9, 2005, this Court entered a pre-filing order  
20 regarding the cases filed by Plaintiff Jerome Grimes. The pre-  
21 filing order states that if Mr. Grimes files a complaint that is  
22 "related to any of the following matters:

23 (1) a diversified group of individuals who commit acts  
24 of terror against Mr. Grimes, his family and other  
citizens;

25 (2) an injunction against the defendants to prevent them  
26 from kidnaping, framing, falsely imprisoning or  
otherwise terrorizing Mr. Grimes, his family, and other  
27 citizens;

28 (3) a court order for the defendants to be subjected to  
a lie detector test;

(4) covert terrorism

it will not be filed unless it presents cognizable claims that are not based on merely conclusory allegations. Second, no other complaints filed by Mr. Grimes while he is not incarcerated or detained will be filed unless they contain intelligible factual allegations and claims for relief."

The Court has reviewed the above-captioned complaints filed by Mr. Grimes and finds that they shall not be filed because they relate to matters described by the pre-filing order or fail to contain intelligible factual allegations and claims for relief.

I. Case Nos. 14-80199 and 14-80200

The complaints in these cases allege Fourth Amendment claims against the Serramonte Center, Universal Protective Services, Radio Shack and employees of Universal Protective Services and Radio Shack. Each of these Defendants is a private actor. Plaintiff may not bring a Fourth Amendment claim against them unless he can show that they were acting under color of state law. Johnson v. Knowles, 113 F.3d 1114, 1118 (9th Cir. 1997).

Plaintiff has made no such allegation. The complaint does not contain intelligible factual allegations and claims for relief. Accordingly, it shall not be filed.

II. Case Nos. 14-80201, 14-80202, 14-80203 and 14-80204

The Court finds that the complaints in these cases shall not be filed because they allege that Defendants are engaged in covert terrorism. Two of the cases, 14-80201 and 14-80204 also seek a court order for Defendants to be subjected to a lie detector test. Mr. Grimes alleges no cognizable causes of action in these complaints.

1 III. Case No. 14-80205

2 In this case, Plaintiff alleges a Fourth Amendment claim  
3 against two Daly City police officers. However, the facts alleged  
4 in this complaint concern various Radio Shack employees. The  
5 complaint does not contain intelligible factual allegations and  
6 claims for relief. Accordingly, it shall not be filed.

7 CONCLUSION

8 Because the above-captioned complaints concern multiple  
9 matters mentioned in the pre-filing order, present no cognizable  
10 cause of action or fail to contain intelligible factual  
11 allegations and claims for relief, the Clerk of the Court is  
12 ordered not to file them. Instead, the complaints shall be  
13 returned to Mr. Grimes.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15  
16 Dated: 8/7/2014

17   
18 CLAUDIA WILKEN  
19 United States District Judge  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28